



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,587	12/04/2001	Michael A. Tainsky	0788.00063	5172
48924 7590 07/15/2008 KOHN & ASSOCIATES, PLLC 30500 NORTHWESTERN HWY STE 410 FARMINGTON HILLS, MI 48334				
EXAMINER CLOW, LORI A				
ART UNIT 1631		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 07/15/2008		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/004,587

**Applicant(s)**

TAINSKY ET AL.

**Examiner**

Lori A. Clow, Ph.D.

**Art Unit**

1631

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lori A. Clow, Ph.D. (PTO).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Laura Dellal (Apps. Rep.).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 08 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sioud et al. in view of WO 99/39210 or 2003/0003516.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the outstanding 103 rejection. No agreement was reached regarding the prior art and the Examiner maintains that claim 20 is obvious over Sioud et al. in view of either WO 99/39210 or 2003/0003516.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Lori A. Clow, Ph.D./

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.